Artificial Intelligence and Digital Imaging: Future Trends in Editing and Ethical Concerns

Yuqing Chen

Affiliation: Konkuk University,Gwangjin-gu,Seoul,Republic of Korea 05028

Abstract:AI-based political deepfakes are an emerging threat to democratic integrity, voter confidence, and electoral integrity. Using sophisticated AI tools like Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), voice cloning, and lip-syncing, deepfake producers can create highly realistic but fake political content. This study examines the 2024 U.S. elections AI-generated deepfake scandal of Biden and Trump, where attention is directed toward the involvement of social media sites in broadcasting disinformation and how to identify and regulate deepfakes. Even though there have been efforts to curb AI-generated disinformation, loopholes in regulatory standards and ineffective mechanisms for enforcement persist, especially in the United States. The article analyses emerging AI detection technologies, legislations like the DEEPFAKES Accountability Act (2023), and responses of international regulatory bodies. It concludes with demands for stronger AI regulation, improved content verification systems, and improved public awareness campaigns to counter the deepfake disinformation threat.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) -powered deepfakes are currently the most threatening problem in the digital information system, particularly in politics and society. Deepfakes are artificially created media following the application of deep learning techniques, i.e., Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), to manipulate audio, video, and images in extremely realistic manners (Masood et al., 2022). It is used to conceal actual and created content issues, thereby making it hard to believe digital material. GANs do this by pitting two neural networks, a discriminator and a generator, against each other in an effort to improve the quality of created content, resulting in deepfake videos that are almost identical to real footage (Masood et al., 2022). The greater the advancement of more advanced GANs, the harder it is to identify deepfakes in real-time, and that creates the danger of deception and fraud. Although intended for entertainment and art purposes, deepfake technology has increasingly been utilised to propagate misinformation, influence public opinion, and manipulate political rhetoric (Westerlund, 2019)[1]. The quick evolution of AI-driven disinformation threatens the integrity of democratic processes severely. Political deepfakes may be employed to create false statements, manipulate facial expressions, or even generate new speeches by political leaders,

enabling the opposing side to deceive the masses, affect election results, and erode trust in true sources of information (Battista, 2024). Consequently, deepfakes can exaggerate political polarisation by reinforcing partisan tendencies and making it more difficult for citizens to distinguish between real and fake content[2].

The growing use of deepfake technology has prompted fears that it can undermine democratic institutions, influence the behaviour of voters, and disseminate disinformation on a massive scale. Deepfakes utilise artificial intelligence to generate extremely realistic yet completely false content that can be disseminated rapidly on digital platforms, unlike other types of political disinformation[3]. This is a special problem in the sense that the possibilities of deepfake technology are also changing fast; even those with a lot of expertise will find it difficult to differentiate between actual and generated political speech (Mullen, 2022). Political deepfakes have already started affecting major election campaigns globally. For instance, in the 2024 election cycle, manipulated videos generated using AI and bearing the likeness of U.S. President Joe Biden and former President Donald Trump were created to mislead voters and shape public opinion. It is exacerbated by social media algorithms that prioritise engagement over accuracy, making it easy and rapid for deepfake information to spread widely (Mullen, 2022). Without any measures to counter it, deepfake disinformation threatens to erode public trust in media, political institutions, and democratic processes[4].

Research Objectives and Methodology

The primary purpose of this study is to examine the impact of artificial intelligence-created deepfake disinformation in political environments, to analyse the ethical issues surrounding its use, and to evaluate regulatory responses aimed at mitigating the risks associated with it. Specifically, this paper examines the following:

(1) Deepfake technology's impact on voter sentiment and political choice-making.

(2) The ethical challenges of deepfake deception, including authenticity, consent, and accountability concerns.

(3) Current and future regulatory frameworks to deal with AI-generated disinformation in democratic societies.

The primary research question of this paper is:

"How do AI-generated political deepfakes impact voter perception and democracy, and what measures can mitigate their effects?"

This research employs qualitative research methods grounded in case studies, policy analysis, and media reports to examine the Biden- Trump AI-generated deepfake affair during the 2024 U.S. elections. By presenting genuine cases of deepfake political disinformation and evaluating legal and technical measures to deal with it, this research wants to contribute to the general discourse on AI control, digital morals, and political communication in the future with AI[5-7].

2. The Rise of AI in Political Misinformation

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionising the political communication landscape to the extent that it is now possible to produce and share highly realistic but fake media content. Lip-sync is one of the most common deepfake techniques, where AI

manipulates a person's lip movement to synchronise with manipulated speech in a manner that it looks like they said something they never said (Sharma, 2023). This is achieved through Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), which continually improve the artificial material to render it more authentic[8]. Voice cloning, another artificial intelligence-facilitated method, enables deepfake makers to mimic a voice with greater precision than ever before, enabling the production of fake political speeches or deceptive statements (Sharma, 2023). Apart from video alteration, how AI can enhance deepfake reality has made deepfake software easy to access and find. Machine learning programs enhance their output by becoming experts in processing gigantic amounts of real-world images, sounds, and facial expressions with every trial. AI-generated deepfakes become increasingly difficult to distinguish from authentic footage (Mehta et al., 2018)[9]. This makes it more difficult for citizens to authenticate the political material. With AI-generated content's evolving development, deepfakes endanger public confidence and electoral integrity with deeply convincing but fabricated visual and audio material. Deepfakes, in contrast to other misinformation, give credit to made-up stories, therefore making false material more likely to sway voters. This capacity to change candidates' speeches, deeds, and appearance is problematic from the voter manipulation perspective because artificial intelligence-generated material can create contentious statements or unethical actions. They can manipulate political speech, sway elections, and destroy reputations forever. Deepfakes are also used to create fake endorsements, alter campaign commercials, and create fake news reports, further adding to political polarisation (Momeni, 2024). These tactics are particularly dangerous because they reinforce pre-existing biases among voters, leading to deeper ideological divides[10].

Social media plays an important role in amplifying deepfake misinformation. Platforms like Twitter (X), Facebook, TikTok, and YouTube (their algorithm favours sensation) enable rapid dissemination before fact-checkers can intervene (Sun, 2022). As deepfakes become more widespread, the ability to distinguish truth from fabrication weakens, threatening the credibility of democratic institutions and journalism[11]. One of the first major cases of AI-driven political misinformation occurred in 2019 when a manipulated video of Nancy Pelosi was slowed down to make her appear intoxicated, spreading widely before fact-checkers debunked it (Tashman, 2020). Though it did not use advanced AI or GANs, it highlighted the political risks of synthetic media. During the 2020 U.S. presidential election, AI-manipulated videos falsely depicted Joe Biden as incoherent and confused, influencing public opinion before they were exposed as fake (Ecker et al., 2024). These incidents illustrate the potential for AI-generated misinformation to influence electoral outcomes, erode public trust, and compromise democratic processes. With AI-produced speech, lip-syncing potential and voice duplication, deepfakes are now able to make hyper-realistic but completely doctored renditions of politicians, with deceptiveness now more difficult to spot.

3. Case Study: The Biden-Trump AI-Generated Deepfake Controversy

There was a record increase in AI-created deepfakes during the 2024 United States presidential election directed at both Joe Biden and Donald Trump with the hope of shaping public opinion as well as voter sentiment. One of the most popularly distributed AI-generated deepfakes was the one that fooled Biden into sounding nonsensical using voice cloning and face editing, producing a very realistic but fake video that fooled audiences into believing he was not mentally acute (Ecker et al., 2024). It went viral right away on Twitter (X), Facebook, TikTok, and YouTube, triggering political rivals and partisan news sites before fact-checkers could intervene. The doctored clip reinforced widespread fears regarding Biden's age and mental acuity, swaying undecided voters and fuelling speculation regarding his ability to lead (Glueck et al., 2024). Similarly, deepfake videos of Donald Trump were generated and showcased as showing him saying inflammatory and incendiary statements that he had never made. Some videos impersonated him endorsing radical ideologies or propounding violence, strategically designed to damage his reputation among moderate voters (Islam et al., 2024). Such videos were escalated by algorithms on social media, which favour engaging content over accuracy, further driving political polarisations and partisan narratives. Extensive sharing of such manipulated videos, usually circulated without fact-checking, made it challenging for voters to discern truth from fabrication (Mirza, 2024). As a result, deepfakes emerged as a potent tool for election misinformation, eroding trust in both media and political institutions.

The complexity of these deepfakes was partially attributed to artificial intelligence-based technologies like DeepFaceLab, Synthesia, and ElevenLabs. All these technologies helped achieve smooth face replication, lip-syncing, and voice copying, producing highly realistic but entirely created content. DeepFaceLab, an open-source deepfake tool, facilitated the mapping of facial features to synthetic video footage, rendering alterations nearly impossible to differentiate from real videos (Liu et al., 2023). This degree of accuracy renders it virtually impossible for the ordinary viewer to identify manipulation, and the likelihood of misinformation increases. Synthesia enabled accurate lip-syncing and speech manipulation, making the manipulated speech look natural (Synthesia, 2024). As AI refines speech synchronisation, deepfakes become even more convincing, making verbal authenticity an unreliable measure of truth. Meanwhile, ElevenLabs facilitated voice cloning, mimicking the speech patterns of both Biden and Trump with remarkable accuracy (Temprano, 2024). These deepfake tools leveraged GAN-based training algorithms, continuously refining outputs to enhance realism, making them increasingly difficult to detect. The accessibility of such software meant that malicious actors, political operatives, and foreign influence campaigns could easily create AI-driven misinformation, raising concerns about election security and voter manipulation.

In the 2024 U.S. elections, the rapid advancement of deepfake technology posed significant challenges to political discourse, media integrity, and voter decision-making. Many undecided voters struggle to differentiate

between authentic and manipulated content, particularly as deepfakes have become more sophisticated and widely circulated (Łabuz & Nehring, 2024). The ability of AI-generated videos to shape public debates and media coverage forced candidates to spend time debunking false narratives rather than focusing on key policy issues. Some media outlets also amplify deepfake content before verifying its authenticity, further compounding the spread of misinformation (Ahmed et al., 2024). The algorithmic prioritisation of high-engagement content allowed deepfake videos to spread faster than legitimate news, reinforcing political biases and creating echo chambers of misinformation. Recognising the dangers posed by deepfake misinformation, both Biden and Trump's campaign teams actively condemned the use of AI-generated videos. Biden's team called for social media platforms to implement stricter AI content moderation, while Trump's campaign denounced deepfakes as a tool of political manipulation (Łabuz & Nehring, 2024). Both parties pressured tech companies to take stronger action against the spread of fabricated media.

As deepfake technology becomes increasingly sophisticated, news organisations, fact-checkers, and social media platforms face mounting challenges in combating AI-generated misinformation that threatens electoral integrity and public trust. Meanwhile, news organisations and fact-checking agencies played an essential role in debunking deepfakes, with CNN, The New York Times, and The Washington Post conducting investigations to expose AI-driven fabrications (Chow, 2024). Despite their efforts, the relentless evolution of deepfake technology continues to challenge the credibility of

traditional journalism. Fact-checking organisations like PolitiFact, Snopes, and Reuters Fact Check flagged manipulated videos and issued clarifications, but the speed at which deepfakes spread often outpaced their ability to contain the damage (Harris et al., 2024). This rapid dissemination highlights a dangerous reality—corrections rarely reach as many people as the initial falsehoods, leaving misinformation to shape public opinion. Following the emergence of the increasing threat of deepfake misinformation, social media operators such as Facebook (Meta), Twitter (X), TikTok, and YouTube implemented new policy measures aimed at detecting and blocking AI-created content (Ara et al., 2024). Others commenced labelling known deepfake video clips, but others boosted the use of their AI-detecting technology for identifying tampered media. Regardless, enforcement lacked consistency given that deepfake technology was still on an upward trend of development. The Biden- Trump AI-created deepfake scandal revealed critical weaknesses in electoral integrity, media trustworthiness, and public confidence. Even with fact-checking and policy measures, the quick dissemination and potency of deepfake misinformation revealed the imperative need for more robust AI regulations, detection tools, and voter education programs. Otherwise, future elections will continue to be vulnerable to further AI-created disinformation manipulation.

4. Ethical and Legal Challenges in Political Deepfakes

The rise of AI-generated deepfakes presents serious ethical challenges, particularly in undermining democratic processes and eroding public trust. By creating highly realistic but

entirely false political content, deepfakes make it increasingly difficult for voters to distinguish truth from fabrication, leading to manipulated elections and misinformed decision-making. This contributes to the "post-truth" political landscape, where objective facts become secondary to emotionally charged misinformation (Hannon, 2023). As deepfakes spread rapidly through social media and partisan media outlets, they amplify existing biases, reinforce political divisions, and delegitimise authentic political discourse. The ethical concern extends beyond deception, as deepfake technology can be weaponised to discredit opponents, fabricate scandals, and distort historical records, permanently damaging political reputations and institutional credibility (Gaborit, 2024). The liar's dividend, where politicians dismiss real footage as fake, further weakens public confidence in journalism and factual reporting, making it harder to hold leaders accountable for real misconduct. The lack of public awareness and AI literacy exacerbates this problem, as many people remain unaware of how sophisticated deepfake technology has become, making them more susceptible to AI-generated misinformation (Gaborit, 2024). Without stronger regulations, advanced detection mechanisms, and widespread AI literacy initiatives, deepfakes will continue to threaten the very foundation of democratic accountability and public trust in truth.

Despite the growing threat of deepfakes, legal frameworks remain insufficient and fragmented, particularly in the United States, where there is no comprehensive federal law regulating AI-driven misinformation. The First Amendment's protection of free speech complicates efforts to ban political deepfakes, as restrictions on AI-generated content could conflict with principles of freedom of expression (Loewenstein, 2024). This legal dilemma creates a loophole where misinformation can flourish under the guise of free speech. While Texas (2019) and California (2020) passed laws criminalising political deepfakes, these laws remain difficult to enforce due to challenges in detecting deepfakes, proving intent, and holding anonymous online creators accountable (Loewenstein, 2024). Without robust enforcement mechanisms, these laws serve more as symbolic gestures than effective deterrents. Moreover, social media platforms such as Facebook (Meta), Twitter (X), and YouTube struggle with content moderation, as their AI detection systems often fail to identify and remove manipulated videos in real time (Elkin-Koren, 2020). As deepfakes grow more sophisticated, even the platforms designed to regulate them risk becoming unwitting amplifiers of misinformation. While some platforms have introduced deepfake labelling policies, enforcement remains inconsistent, and the profit-driven nature of social media algorithms means that viral, high-engagement content—including deepfakes—often spreads faster than fact-checked corrections (Elkin-Koren, 2020). Without stronger regulatory frameworks, improved AI detection methods, and public education campaigns, deepfake misinformation will continue to threaten electoral integrity, public discourse, and trust in democratic institutions.

5. Future Trends and Regulatory Responses

As deepfake technology continues to evolve, AI-driven detection models have become a vital tool in combating misinformation. Companies like Microsoft, Adobe, and DARPA have developed AI-powered forensic tools to detect manipulated media, while blockchain-based digital watermarking is emerging as a promising method for verifying content authenticity (Gipson, 2021). However, detection alone is not enough; stronger regulations are needed to prevent AI-driven election interference. In the U.S., the DEEPFAKES Accountability Act (2023) proposes mandatory AI content labeling, while lawmakers push for federal legislation criminalising the use of deepfakes in political campaigns (Shirish & Komal, 2024). While these legislative efforts signal progress, their effectiveness will depend on strict enforcement, technological advancements in detection, and cooperation from social media platforms. Internationally, the EU AI Act and GDPR have introduced laws requiring AI-generated content to be transparent and accountable, while China has mandated that deepfake content must be labelled to curb misinformation (Moreno, 2024). Beyond regulation, public awareness and media literacy initiatives play a key role in addressing the deepfake threat. Therefore, voter education efforts on how to recognise manipulated content and partnerships between technology firms, NGOs, and governments to promote fact-checking initiatives are key to mitigating the impact of AI-generated disinformation. Lacking a blend of sophisticated detection tools, tough regulations, and media literacy campaigns, deepfake disinformation will persist in undermining electoral integrity, political stability, and public confidence in the digital world.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

AI-based political deepfakes are the new major challenge to democratic integrity and electoral trust because they provide highly realistic misinformation capable of manipulating public opinion and altering elections. Without robust federal mandates in place for complete AI-generated misinformation control in the United States, the propagation of such AI-generated misinformation is not easily controlled, whereas available tools used for detection are still inadequately utilised across social media. In order to reverse this emerging trend, tighter AI regulations must be enforced, such as criminalising deepfake election interference and requiring the labelling of AI-generated content on the internet. Social media platforms have to be compelled to identify and tag AI-made videos in real time before the viral spread of false information. Besides, investment in AI-powered verification systems should be given high priority to build deepfake detection capabilities and make political content verifiable and authentic. Future research will have to investigate how AI can be used to counter misinformation, including the creation of proactive AI-based verification tools that can identify and disable deepfakes before they gain widespread popularity. In addition, research investigating the long-term psychological consequences of deepfake exposure will be central to establishing the nature of repeated encounters between human beings and AI-powered disinformation and their stakes for public trust, cognitive bias, and democratic participation. In the absence of robust regulatory steps, technological innovation, and public awareness campaigns, deepfake-based

disinformation will continue to erode electoral processes, deflate institutional credibility, and distort political speech in the age of the internet.

References

[1]Ahmed, S., Wei, A., Wei, S., & Masood, M. (2024). Social media news use amplifies the illusory truth effects of viral deepfakes: A cross-national study of eight countries[J].Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, 68(5), 1–28.

[2]Battista, D. (2024). Communication and politics in the age of artificial intelligence: An overview of deepfakes and their implications.[J]. Society Register, 8(2), 7–24.

[3]Gaborit, P. (2024). A sociopolitical approach to disinformation and AI: Concerns, responses and challenges.[J]. Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 7(4), 75–88.

[4]Gipson, S. M. (2021). Technological tethereds: Potential impact of untrustworthy artificial intelligence in criminal justice risk assessment instruments.[J].Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons, 78, 647–724.

[5]Hannon, M. (2023). The politics of post-truth. [J].Critical Review, 35(1-2), 40–62.

[6]Momeni, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence and political deepfakes: Shaping citizen perceptions through misinformation.[J]. Journal of Creative Communications, 20(1), 41–56.

[7]Moreno, F. R. (2024). Generative AI and deepfakes: A human rights approach to tackling harmful content.[J]. International Review of Law Computers & Technology, 38(3), 1–30.

[8]Mullen, M. (2022). A new reality: Deepfake technology and the world around us. [J].Mitchell Hamline Law Review, 48(1), 210–234.

[9]Sharma, S. (2023). Deep-Fake technology: Highlights and challenges. [J].International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews, 272–278.

[10]Shirish, A., & Komal, S. (2024). A socio-legal inquiry on deepfakes. [J].California Western International Law Journal, 54(2), 517–560.

[11]Sun, H. (2022). Regulating algorithmic disinformation. [J].Colum. JL & Arts, 46, 367–417.